

SPE Aberdeen Well Late Life & Well Abandonment Conference:

Process Safety for Wells

Graham Skinner

Well Engineering and Operations

Contents



- Inspection findings as leading indicators
- Process safety aspects



- Performance Standards
 - Installation operator and Well operator PS not aligned
 - Well components not identified as SECEs such as storm chokes no verification
 - o ICP Verification activities on wells not occurring for many years
 - \circ Side outlet valves as single barriers not detailed in PS (SCP)
 - Leak rate acceptance criteria do not align to industry benchmarks
 - 3rd party equipment not addressed in PS
 - Wells not meeting performance standards; no initiating actions



- Maintenance
 - Not managed effectively overdue wells maintenance not on backlog
 - $\circ~$ Well barrier test records not sufficiently recorded
 - Well integrity records incomplete and anomaly reporting not occurring as per procedure
- Ancillary safety and environmentally critical equipment:
 - Gauges in unsuitable units or scales
 - Calibration not occurring not in maintenance management system
 - Gauges not checked or failures not recorded
 - Hose management procedures insufficient or not followed
- Suspended platform wells
 - Integrity monitoring of these wells not defined and insufficient
- MAASP



Inspection Findings 2016 - 2018

- Annulus management procedures
 - Not sufficiently detailed
 - Not audited or reviewed
 - Annulus pressures not investigated
 - SCP not sufficiently monitored
 - Bleed downs not recorded in WIMS
 - Bleed down details not recorded i.e. fluids, volumes
 - Bleed down HP/LP interfaces not sufficiently engineered
 - Management of change for bleed down rig ups not followed
 - MAASP not recorded in controlled documents
 - Current MAASPs not correct in control room or system

- Cross departmental issues:

 Risk assessment
 Competence
 Well handover documents not completed in sufficient detail
 Contingency options for wells risk not
 - tested i.e. equipment onshore may not be mobilised in time



- Risk assessment
 - Wells risk assessments do not stand up to scrutiny
 - Information carried over to ORA/SCRA inaccurate or incorrect
 - No tracking or confirmation of mitigating actions in place
- Competence
 - Not covering all positions; system not maintained; 3rd party personnel not trained; intervention well control certification not present; *well integrity training for production team not in place*
 - Production personnel not following written procedures;
 procedures out of date; wells handovers not occurring
- Audit and review
 - Effectiveness of systems not assured...

Process Safety



1. Leadership and culture

• Put process safety on the management agenda and encourage the reporting of "bad news".

• Use every opportunity to discuss and promote process safety.

2. Risk awareness

- Make sure that you understand your organisation's vulnerabilities and risks.
- Make sure that bonus schemes don't encourage people to put production ahead of process safety.

3. Information

• Set up management systems to analyse, prioritise and manage risks.

- Make sufficient resources available for improvements and the investigation of near-accidents.
- Actively share experience and knowledge.

4. Competency

- Ensure the continuous development of process safety expertise.
- Get expert advice on process safety in the context of decision-making processes.
- Communicate openly about critical aspects of process safety.

5. Action

- Formulate clear action plans and actively monitor their implementation.
- Make sure that risk management activities and corrective measures are carried out promptly.

The Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) 5 Pillars of Process Safety Management

Process Safety



Experience has shown that **most process safety management systems** start to **deteriorate immediately**, or very soon after they have been implemented, unless real efforts are made to maintain their effectiveness. For example, analysis carried out for HSE in 2007 showed that **81%** of loss of containment incidents could be traced back to *failings in maintaining* the requirements of the process safety management system



- At least 75% of legislative breaches could be avoided by benchmarking against industry standards and guidelines
- Active monitoring is not just walking about worksites but is carried out to test the <u>effectiveness of control measures</u> under managers responsibilities
- <u>Scrutiny of work</u> carried out by subordinates as a minimum where you have authorising or approving authority
- <u>Risk assessments</u> for impaired wells must be facilitated by competent teams, in reasonable time, and effectively monitored and reviewed
- <u>Control measures implemented</u> should be records of implementation and then monitored at appropriate frequencies
- Cross departmental understanding and communication is critical



Questions