# CO<sub>2</sub> injection operations: Insights from Sleipner and Snøhvit

Philip Ringrose & Øystein Sæther Equinor ASA

Carbon Capture Utilisation and Storage Conference – Virtual Event SPE Aberdeen - October 2020





## Summary CCS in Norway





Open

## CO<sub>2</sub> injection from the molecule's point of view

Understanding facilities and well operations on the phase diagram

- Sleipner operations very close to the triple point
- Snøhvit is much deeper and into the liquid/dense envelop
- CO<sub>2</sub> at Snøhvit warms up into the formation and cools the rocks – possible nearwell thermal fractures
- CO<sub>2</sub> at Sleipner cools down in the reservoir – leading to significant changes in density





## Seismic imaging of CO<sub>2</sub> plume at Sleipner

Time-lapse seismic imaging at Sleipner has been very successful:

- Has informed researchers and operators about the 'physics of the storage process' (insights)
- Has been vital for convincing the authorities and the public about successful storage (conformance)



Seismic section (N-S) at Sleipner showing time-lapse amplitudedifference data when comparing 2010 and 1994 surveys. Modified from Furre et al. (2015)



### (insights) (conformance)

# Sleipner CO<sub>2</sub> Injection Well Design

Long-reach horizontal well with stainless steel components has provided stable injection for 24 years





However, there were initial injection problems due to sand influx which were solved by re-perforation of the injection interval and installation of sand screen and gravel packs (as shown here) and described by Hansen et al. 2005

# Start-up phase injectivity analysis at Sleipner

Sleipner injection data (1996-1999)







## Snøhvit CCS Project Summary

- First onshore capture offshore storage project (combined with LNG)
  - 150km seabed CO<sub>2</sub> transport pipeline
  - Saline aquifers c. 2.5km deep adjacent to gas field
  - $CO_2$  stored initially in the Tubåen Fm. (2008-2011) and then in the Stø Fm. (2011-)







## Monitoring the subsurface at Snøhvit

### Successful well intervention guided by monitoring data

- Initial injectivity challenges mainly due to salt drop-out effect
- Rising pressure due to geological barriers led to well intervention
- Integrated use of geophysical monitoring and down-hole gauges
- Deployed back-up option in the injector well (modified completion)





Maria



### **Demonstrates value** of flexible well design

### Time-lapse seismic (Amplitude difference)



Open

# Snøhvit $CO_2$ injection history and status

- $CO_2$  injection into the Tubåen Formation until April 2011
- Injection then diverted into the Stø Formation following well intervention
- 6.5 Mt injected by end 2019 (1.1 Mt injected into Tubåen)
- Continuing stable injection of CO<sub>2</sub>
- Second CO<sub>2</sub> injector G-4 H currently used to inject in Stø Fm







Open

## Snøhvit Injection pressure history







Example lab analysis of salt precipitation during injection of CO<sub>2</sub> Miri et al (2015) IJGGC

Near-well bore damage effects probably a mix of salt drop out and fines migration

26 October 2020

Open

## Geological surprises around the Snøhvit injector (F-2 H)



### Tubåen Fm reflector at the Snøhvit CO<sub>2</sub> injection site:

Acoustic impedance map annotated with depositional features related to the interpreted depositional environments (brown and green colours show higher acoustic impedance indicating higher sand fraction). Black features are faults.

Interpretation of well-test pressure data at Snøhvit which revealed the presence of a partial flow barrier at around 100m from the injection well and another barrier at around 3000m from the well (Hansen et al. 2013).

## Summary

- Long, valuable experience with  $CO_2$  injection wells will be useful for future projects
- Phase-behaviour and thermal setting essentially define the injection project's "identity" and inform well-design choices
- Early injection challenges at both Sleipner and Snøhvit were resolved using well interventions
- Geological and geochemical surprises should be expected with  $CO_2$  injection wells
- Flexible well design and planning can effectively handle these challenges





### References

- Furre, A.K., Kiær, A. and Eiken, O., 2015. CO2-induced seismic time shifts at Sleipner. Interpretation, 3(3), pp.SS23-SS35.
- Hansen, H., Eiken, O. and Aasum, T.O., 2005. Tracing the path of carbon dioxide from a gas-condensate reservoir, through an amine plant and back into a subsurface acquifer. Case study: The Sleipner area, Norwegian North Sea. SPE, 96742, p.2005.
- Hansen, O., Gilding, D., Nazarian, B., Osdal, B., Ringrose, P., Kristoffersen, J.B., Eiken, O. and Hansen, H., 2013. Snøhvit: The history of injecting and storing 1 Mt CO2 in the fluvial Tubåen Fm. Energy Procedia, 37, pp.3565-3573.
- Miri, R., van Noort, R., Aagaard, P. and Hellevang, H., 2015. New insights on the physics of salt precipitation during injection of CO2 into saline aquifers. International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control, 43, pp.10-21.
- Pawar, R.J., Bromhal, G.S., Carey, J.W., Foxall, W., Korre, A., Ringrose, P.S., Tucker, O., Watson, M.N. and White, J.A., 2015. Recent advances in risk assessment and risk management of geologic CO2 storage. International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control, 40, pp.292-311.
- Ringrose, P., 2020. How to Store CO2 Underground: Insights from early-mover CCS Projects. Springer International Publishing.



### Thanks to Sleipner and Snøhvit Production License partners for permission to use and share these datasets

### CO2 injection operations: Insights from Sleipner and Snøhvit

Philip Ringrose & Øystein Sæther

### © Equinor ASA

This presentation, including the contents and arrangement of the contents of each individual page or the collection of the pages, is owned by Equinor. Copyright to all material including, but not limited to, written material, photographs, drawings, images, tables and data remains the property of Equinor. All rights reserved. Any other use, reproduction, translation, adaption, arrangement, alteration, distribution or storage of this presentation, in whole or in part, without the prior written permission of Equinor is prohibited. The information contained in this presentation may not be accurate, up to date or applicable to the circumstances of any particular case, despite our efforts. Equinor cannot accept any liability for any inaccuracies or omissions.

