

# Multi Swath Method: An Unconventional Yet Efficient Way to Acquire 3D Seismic Surveys

The application of 4D techniques to 3D acquisition



Emma McDonald, Technical Specialist 18<sup>th</sup> May 2021

## **Application of 4D Techniques to 3D**

- ION have a long history of optimized planning on 4D surveys
- Recognition that some of the technology and techniques from 4D can improve 3D
  - Current monitoring, feather prediction and coverage modelling
- 3D case study from North Sea:
  - pre-survey modelling used to compare acquisition strategies
  - multi swath strategy applied infield



### **Acquisition Techniques**



**Racetrack Acquisition** 



- Alternating swath azimuth
- Swath width determined by quickest turn time
- Turn diameter dependant on the configuration
- Coverage moving out from swath edge
- Vessel steers to minimize coverage gaps



- Acquires multiple sub-swaths
- Current prediction used to model coverage
- With turn time, this informs optimal line selection
- Coverage moves out from swath centres
- Vessel steers a straight line



#### **Racetrack Acquisition**



- Inconsistent current dynamics on adjacent lines
- Sudden changes in current may leave gaps
- Steering to prevent gaps may cause overbinning
- Each pass adds more overbinned coverage
- Build-up of overbinning creates a mismatch between acquired coverage and preplot
- Full infill pass is required to remedy mismatch



- Aim to acquire contiguous coverage with as little overlap as possible
- When feather is lower than previously, acquisition switches sides





- Aim to acquire contiguous coverage with as little overlap as possible
- When feather is lower than previously, acquisition switches sides
- If the feather is slightly higher, acquisition returns to original side





- Aim to acquire contiguous coverage with as little overlap as possible
- When feather is lower than previously, acquisition switches sides
- If the feather is slightly higher, acquisition returns to original side
- Currents can change significantly, potentially leaving a gap ... or a significant overlap.



- Aim to acquire contiguous coverage with as little overlap as possible
- When feather is lower than previously, acquisition switches sides
- If the feather is slightly higher, acquisition returns to original side
- Currents can change significantly a new sub-swath is started







#### **Planning Process**



- Infield planning identifies each available swath edge
- Time for line change and acquisition are calculated

#### ion

#### **Planning Process**



- Infield planning identifies each available swath edge
- Time for line change and acquisition are calculated
- Coverage Footprint is predicted number of unique (new) bins are calculated
  - Unique Bins Per Hour (UBPH) measures the efficiency of the line choice

#### Case Study – Mid North Sea High 3D

- Multiclient survey was acquired over the Dogger Bank in the mid North Sea, starting Q3 2020.
- Line length (c.100km) did not allow synchronisation with tidal cycle within standard racetrack
- Pre-survey analysis indicated feathers up to +/-10°
  - High potential for large feather mismatches between passes
  - Long streamers (7.2 km) would intensify the effect of feather differences
- Opportunity to utilize multi swath acquisition
- Pre-survey modelling compared 3 acquisition scenarios:
  - 1. Standard 3D type Racetrack with normal turn radius
  - 2. Tidal Racetrack (extending line changes to wait on the tide)
  - 3. Swath Matched acquisition using 4 separate sub-swaths



#### **Modelled Far Offset Coverage**



Time to acquire prime : 428 hrs Empty bins = 149.0 km2 # Infill passes = 13 Time to acquire prime : 502 hrs Empty bins = 66.5 km2 # Infill passes = 2 Time to acquire prime : 427 hrs Empty bins = 120.4 km2 # Infill passes = 3

#### Acquired MNSH 3D Far Offset Coverage





- Duration slightly shorter than modelled
- Slightly more infill passes than modelled coverage



Time to acquire prime = 412 hrs Empty bins = 72.3 km2 Actual infill passes = 5



- Swath Matching assists with:
  - 1. Reduced infill, survey costs and exposure
  - 2. Using the natural current reduces active streamer steering and associated noise
  - 3. Improved and more regular spatial sampling that allow migration processes to work better
  - 4. Fewer coverage gaps, so reduced interpolation
  - 5. Straighter source tracks that would benefit future 4D
- Using current predictions, both racetrack and swath matched plans can be modelled simultaneously
  - This has lead Multi Swath to be successfully applied both in tidal areas and in areas where spatial currents are dominant, such as West Africa

# Powering data-driven decisions

