

#### Harrier Field: Development of a marginal low permeability chalk field Jim Bramald



# **Location & Brief History**



- Located in 30/6a
- Discovered 2003
  - Maersk 30/6-4, -4Z, -4Y, -4X
- Development drilling 2017
  - 30/6a-10, -10Z
- Subsea single, two branch well exploiting lean gas condensate from the chalk
  - Ekofisk Reservoir
  - Tor Reservoir
- First gas August 2018
  - Tie in to FPF-1



# Harrier Field - Geology

- Broad anticline
  - 4 x 3 km, 300 ft loft
- Cretaceous and Tertiary chalk
  - Mudstone grain size
  - Few natural fractures









## **Reservoir Productivity – 30/6-4X DSTs**











# **Reservoir Productivity – 30/6-4X DSTs**

#### Pressure Build up response of Ekofisk and Tor DSTs

- Tor productivity superior to Ekofisk
- Tor IARF flow regime identifiable
- Ekofisk remains in linear (?) flow
- Large discrepancy between core and DST permeability for Ekofisk
  - True reflection of reservoir deliverability or could completion be improved?
- Remaining dilemma:
  - Ekofisk has high gas in place, but very low permeability
  - Tor has acceptable permeability but low gas in place



		TST ft TVD	h ft AH	k <sub>core</sub> md	k <sub>DST</sub> md
DST 2 Ek	ofisk	63	114	0.4	0.005
DST 1 To	or	29	660	1.0	0.9



# **Pre-Development Studies**

- Maximise well deliverability using staged acid fractures
  - Cross linking gels to develop etched fracture surfaces
- Rock mechanics model based on 4Z petrophysics and calibrated with core
- Incorporate into simulation model explicitly with LGRs





## **Development Well**









- Two branch well
  - Multi-stage acid fracture stimulation (14 stages in each lateral)
  - Tuning fork geometry, Level 2 junction
  - Extensive clean up to rig



# **Development Well Clean Up**

- Clean up flow to rig
  - Flow each branch separately, then comingle
  - Gradual clean up to protect fractures
  - Significant difference in layer deliverability









### Peak rate 50 MMscf/D

- Measurement from subsea MPFM
- Little water production
- Failed DHG
  - Rely on extrapolating surface pressure
- History Matching suggests Ekofisk feeding in slowly as dP<sub>DD</sub> increases, but at modest rates





# **Future Opportunities**

- Possible infill well
  - Main Field or "Ring of Fire"? 0
  - Tor and Ekofisk, or Tor only? 0
- **Reservoir studies to help** understand
  - Long term performance of Ekofisk 0
  - Ekofisk vs. Tor in place 0
  - Connectivity to "Ring of Fire" 0





ษี 1.5

10

0.5

0.0





# **Key Experiences / Learnings**

- In this area of North Sea, Ekofisk reservoir quality is marginal
- Drilled and Completed a Complex Multilateral well, with both branches acid-fracture stimulated
  - Success could be deployed elsewhere
  - Both branches in Tor reservoir?
- Vendors and Supply Chain
  - Good relationships with Oil Field Service Companies
    - Finance, Drilling and Completion engineering, Access to stimulation vessel
- Industry Links
  - Spoke to several other operators, in UK and Denmark
- Some interesting references:

Oberhofer, R et al (2018) "Completion and Stimulation Design of the First Offshore Acid Fractured Multistage Dual Lateral Well"

#### SPE-191390-18IHFT-M

Scott-Rampersad, R et al (2018) "Harrier Development: Successful Implementation of the World's First Offshore Multilateral Installation with Staged Acid Fracturing Performed on Both Laterals" SPE-190820-MS

Bocaneala, B et al (2019) "Multilateral Multistage Hydraulic Fractured Offshore Wells; A New Trend in Completion Design and Optimization for More Effective Field Development" SPE-195774-MS